

**Minimum Standards for Children’s Mental Health
Definitions and Intent
2020**

Compliance Section			
	Proposed Minimum Standard	What are we trying to accomplish by asking this (Intent) and/or examples of questions to ask <i>Areas in blue are specific to SB6 services</i>	Scoring Key
Source	I. General Information:		
AR 4.9.2 MH Provider Manual Section 4.5	1. Consent to evaluation and treatment services signed by guardian/youth	-Parent signature required unless the youth fits criteria below -Best practice is that the youth signs if 14 or older, or 12 or older for SU treatment -If child is 12 or older and seeking SU treatment or mental health treatment (at any age) without parent’s permission, it is documented that the child is doing so without parental input.	0 = No signatures 1= Cannot score as 1 2 = Signature(s) present N/A= Cannot score as N/A
AR 4.9.5, MH Provider Manual Section 4.8	2. Signed authorization by guardian/youth to release information	Ensuring that parent/guardian/youth consents to release information to relevant participants in child’s life or support network. Expected: PCP or psychiatric provider if hospitalization occurred Other examples may include school, dentist, private therapist, etc. <i>If receiving school-based services, need a release between DA and school</i>	0 = No release present 1 = Some releases missing 2= Signed release present N/A= Cannot score as N/A
AR 4.9, 4.13, MH Provider Manual Throughout	3. Evidence that Rights and Responsibilities information was given to client	Evidence that client/family received notification of their rights while receiving services, including HIPAA and confidentiality. Typically found in intake documentation. <i>FERPA required if receiving school-based services (i.e. school-based clinicians, BIs, CERT). Note: FERPA only covers the school year, general releases needed if teams are communicating outside of school term.</i>	0 = No signature or documentation 1 = Cannot score as 1 2= Signature or documentation present N/A= Cannot score as N/A
AR 4.15, MH Provider Manual Section 4.2	4. Evidence Grievance and Appeal information was given to client	Evidence that client/family received notification of their grievance and appeal rights. Typically found in rights and responsibilities or overall intake documentation.	0 = No signature or documentation 1 = Cannot score as 1 2= Signature or documentation present N/A= Cannot score as N/A
AR 4.9.5, 4.9.10 and 4.9.11 MH Provider Manual Section 4.8	5. Medical home/PCP identified or evidence thereof	Ensuring that the child/youth has a PCP to promote physical wellness during crucial developmental phases, with the intent of collaboration with the DA/SSA. A release that states parent/youth refused to provide consent to release information is acceptable.	0 = No release 1= Cannot score as 1 2 = Release present N/A= Cannot score as N/A
AR 4.9.5, 4.9.10, 4.9.11 and MH	6. Release for PCP or documentation of refusal	Signed release for PCP or a release that states parent/youth refused to provide consent to release information is acceptable.	0 = No release 1= Cannot score as 1

Provider Manual Section 4.8			2 = Release present N/A= Cannot score as N/A
AR 4.9.10 and 4.9.11, EPSDT/Medicaid, MH Provider Manual Pg. 98	7. Dental home identified or evidence thereof	Encourage healthy oral hygiene and overall wellness during crucial developmental phases, as well as collaboration with DA/SSA, if applicable. Identifying dental homes is applicable under screening in EPSDT.	0 = No dental home identified 1= Cannot score as 1 2 = Dental home identified or evidence of refusal N/A= Child is under age 2
II. Clinical Evaluation, Assessment, and/or Screening: If the assessment is outdated and does not cover the period under review, all scores for this section are 0			
MH Provider Manual Section 4.4	1. Assessment is completed within 45 days of intake or within 2 years for a reassessment. Reassessments should also be completed if a significant life event occurs.	To document developmental changes which can have a significant impact during short periods of time, and the influence of changing family dynamics and their impact on child. A current assessment is crucial as the basis to inform treatment. A reassessment is also be important following significant life or status changes to the person or family served. (CIS One Plan is acceptable for children aged 0-3 years old). <i>If receiving any BI services, need to have a functional behavioral assessment (FBA)</i>	0 = No Assessment present, or does not cover the period under review 1 = Initial assessment not completed within 45 days, lapse in reassessment 2-year requirement 2= Assessment is completed in a timely manner N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 3.1	2. Basic Demographic Information	Clinical Evaluation/Assessment must capture basic demographic data (age, gender, housing, employment/education, members of household).	0= No assessment, assessment older than 2 years, or demographic data not recorded. 1= Some demographic data, but missing elements 2= All demographic info present N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 3.1	3. Presenting problem/concern/issue	Clinical Evaluation/Assessment includes a review of relevant information from other sources, such as the family, health care provider, childcare provider, schools, other State agencies or programs, or others involved with the individual and their family. To clearly document why you are serving this child and family and begin to formulate a treatment plan. Family and child's voice are incorporated in assessment. Why is the family accessing services now? What do they expect to get out of treatment? What do they understand that treatment will look like? What other intervention have they tried? How did they help? Not help? Have the parents been involved in the child's treatment in the past? How? It is clear what the youth and family would consider a successful outcome – be specific and concrete. Are the expectations of the child and family different? Are they realistic? What issues in the family need to be addressed to support the child in changing their behaviors? <i>If there is an FBA, is/are identified behavior(s) of concern specific and concrete and is there evidence of direct observation of the child to complete the FBA.</i>	0= No presenting issue 1= Basic information about presenting issue, lacks detail or additional perspectives 2= Presenting issue is clear and detailed N/A= Cannot score as N/A

MH Provider Manual Section 3.1	4. History of presenting issue	<p>Description of current issue including individual and family strengths and stressors. To work with a child and family effectively you must be aware of the child and family's thoughts on what the issues are and how they perceive the issues. Does the family and child (if age appropriate) view the situations/target symptoms differently? Do they identify different issues of concern? How do they describe the current challenges? Are the child's behaviors a reaction to any stresses in the family? Are there any family dynamics that need to be addressed to support the child? Best practice includes information from child, family, school and any other service providers involved with family (collateral info.)</p> <p>If there is an FBA, there must be a documented history of lower level services/interventions that have been tried and have not been successful. Is there a clear understanding of the antecedents of the concerning behaviors and what benefit the child gets from the behaviors?</p>	<p>0= No history of presenting issue 1= Basic information about history of presenting issue, lacks individual and family strengths 2= History of presenting issue is clear and identifies strengths N/A= Cannot score as N/A</p>
MH Provider Manual Section 3.1	5. Expectations of Treatment	Evaluation/Assessment states expected benefits of the treatment(s) recommended.	<p>0= No expectations identified 1= Expectations present, but not tied to recommendations for treatment 2= Expectations of treatment are clear and tied to recommendations N/A= Cannot score as N/A</p>
MH Provider Manual Section 3.1	6. Medical and Psychiatric History	Medical and psychiatric history is reviewed, if client has been receiving services for multiple years, the relevant history is carried over to new evaluations/assessments. Charts should not state 'see previous evaluation/assessment'. Medical History is explored with a summary of health issues/events and allergies (including medication allergies and adverse reactions). Need to have a full understanding of health issues as they relate to mental health and this level of care. Also need any relevant allergy information for staff planning activities.	<p>0= Medical and psychiatric history not present 1= Medical and psychiatric history present, but not detailed 2= Medical and psychiatric histories present and complete N/A= Cannot score as N/A</p>
MH Provider Manual Section 3.1	7. Developmental History	Understanding a child's developmental history can provide insight into when the child began to struggle, as well as the parents' understanding of typical child development and how they respond to challenges. Also, a child's development can be interrupted or derailed by sickness, trauma, neglect etc. – especially during certain developmental periods, and it can have a significant impact on the child's ability to learn specific skills or build on the skills they missed. So, when did the child meet common developmental milestones? Where there any specific struggles? At what point? How did the parent cope with these problems or encourage development?	<p>0= Developmental history not present 1= Developmental history present, but not detailed 2= Developmental history present and complete N/A= Cannot score as N/A</p>
MH Provider Manual Section 3.1	8. Substance Use History	SU history should be reviewed if applicable, typically for all clients age 12 or older. Each DA should have the ability to provide a SU screening using one of the screens identified by ADAP for any child 12 or older. SU and MH should be addressed in a co-occurring model if possible. Both issues can have an impact on each other, and it is	<p>0= SU history not addressed 1= SU history addressed, SU assessment indicated but not present.</p>

		best to identify and make sure the treatment plan addresses both. If not possible within the agency, then there is documentation	2= SU history present and any applicable screenings or assessments are present N/A= Not applicable due to age
MH Provider Manual Section 3.1	9. Family history, including ethnicity and cultural considerations	To better understand the child/youth and their family, the evaluation and assessment should include information about the child/youth and/or significant family members. Relationships can give insight into issues and strengths. Other members of the household can impact all other members. What are the types and quality of the relationships the child has with family and friends? How have they changed over the years? What relationships are important to them? What activities does the family enjoy together? Ethnicity and cultural considerations must be reviewed with the client/family and taken into account in treatment recommendations and service/support planning.	0= Family history not present 1= Family history present, but not detailed 2= Family history present and complete N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 3.1	10. Past and current exposure to trauma and current functional impacts	Trauma is often under-identified as a driver of behavior challenges or internalizing behavior and can have a significant impact on mental health and functioning. Is there information around possible trauma the child may have experienced – including, but not limited to witnessing domestic violence, any history of abuse, neglect, family substance abuse, sexual abuse, deaths in the family, significant traumatic events, etc. Did family identify changes in the child’s behavior or functioning after an event? How have they addressed the issue?	0= Exposure to trauma not addressed 1= Exposure to trauma present, but not detailed 2= Exposure to trauma present and complete N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 3.1	11. Support systems, including relationships/interactions with family, friends, and other community members (including spiritual resources, leisure activities, skills)	Developing natural supports is a crucial to maintaining progress and can be key in supporting treatment plans. Who else does the child or parent know outside of immediate family that can support them? How can they help or be involved in the child’s treatment? Who will be there for the child and family when treatment ends?	0= Support systems not identified 1= Support systems present, but not detailed 2= Support systems present and complete N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 3.1	12. Current functional capacity, relevant history, and current stressors in areas of self-care skills, community living skills, housing, finances, employment/education, legal, parenting	An assessment of current functional capacity is a key component of evaluating areas of need and understanding how the person is caring for themselves and engaging with the world around them.	0= Functional capacity not assessed 1= Functional capacity present, but not detailed 2= Functional capacity present and complete N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 3.1	13. Evaluation of mental, emotional, intellectual/cognitive, behavioral status	Clear understanding of the child’s intellectual capacity or learning style and how it impacts ability to engage in treatment. Did the clinician identify the intersection of mental/emotional/behavioral patterns with the cognitive capacity.	0= Evaluation not present 1= Evaluation present, but not detailed 2= Evaluation present and complete N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 3.1	14. Mental status exam	Elements of a mental status exam typically include assessment of; Appearance, Thought Content, Attitude, Activity, Perceptual Abnormalities, Cognition, Mood, Insight and Judgement, Affect, Speech and Language, and Thought Process.	0= Mental status not assessed 1= Mental status exam present, but missing elements

			2= Mental status exam present and complete N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 3.1	15. Use of psychometric tests, including screenings (i.e. Trauma, Depression, Substance Use, etc.)	Standardized tools may include, but are not limited to: CANS, ASEBA, CBCL, Trauma screening PC-PTSD-5, Substance Use CAGE-AID when indicated, and PHQ2/9 for depression. *In 2019 the CANS was not required for all children/youth For children receiving any SB6 service, a CANS is required at the start of services	0= No evidence of standardized tools present 1= Some tools have been administered, but others that were clinically indicated were not completed 2= All clinically indicated tools completed N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 3.1	16. Diagnosis / clinical impression	An accurate understanding of any mental health issues is important in order to develop appropriate treatment plan. Does it make sense? Reflect the symptoms and exhibited behaviors? Meet criteria? Not superficial or just a holdover from previous assessments? (e.g., not Adjustment D/O for five years, etc.). Is it age-appropriate? Significant diagnosis needs additional documentation to support.	0= No diagnosis present 1= Diagnosis is not fully reflected by the assessment 2= Diagnosis is evidenced by the assessment N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 3.1	17. Clinical formulation / interpretative summary (summary of findings leading to a clinical hypothesis)	In order to tie all the information together to be able to develop a clear treatment plan. The central theme is apparent. This should be a brief, but thorough summary of the presenting issues for the child and family, the severity of the issues, their strengths, willingness and ability to participate in treatment, any potential barriers to treatment or co-occurring disabilities, and the diagnosis.	0= No clinical formulation or interpretive summary 1= Does not tie back to assessment, diagnosis, and standardized tools 2= Clearly connected to assessment, diagnosis, and standardized tools N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 3.1	18. Treatment/service recommendations	Recommendations should be based on the clinical formulation and addressing individual/family's goals. These recommendations form the basis of the Individual Plan of Care. Treatment recommendations for type of treatment, as well as frequency, should reflect best practice standards, as well as the child's and family's ability to realistically engage or complete treatment (e.g., intensive psychotherapy should not be recommended for a child with cognitive limitations, etc.). Family participation should be strongly encouraged. Recommendations should include any special assessments or tests and routine procedures. Also includes general discussion of anticipated level of care, length and intensity of treatment and expected focus.	0= No treatment/service recommendations 1= Recommendations do not tie back to assessment, diagnosis, and standardized tools 2= Recommendations are clearly connected to assessment, diagnosis, and standardized tools N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 3.1	19. Qualified provider's name, credentials, and signature are present	Medicaid requirement to document who completed the assessment and their qualifications. Assessments must be signed by a licensed; physician, nurse practitioner, psychologist, marriage and family therapist, MH counselor, or social worker. Rostered clinicians may complete the evaluation/assessment but must also have the signature of licensed supervisor.	0 = All components are absent 1 = Qualified signature present, title and credentials not present 2= Qualified signature, title, and credentials are present N/A= Cannot score as N/A

III. Individual Plan of Care (IPC):			
MH Provider Manual Section 4.5	1. If the initial IPC fell under the period under review, it was completed within 30 days of initial assessment. For prenatal to age 6, the plan must be completed within 45 days of referral	An IPC shall be created and completed with the individual within thirty (30) days of initial assessment.	0= No IPC 1= Initial IPC exists, but not completed within 30 days of completed assessment 2= IPC was completed within 30 days of completed assessment N/A= Only n/a if this is an update
MH Provider Manual Section 4.5	2. If the IPC is an update , it was completed within the last year	IPCs must be updated annually or after an applicable life event (e.g. parental divorce, parental death, loss of housing, significant medical diagnosis)	0 = IPC not updated 1 = IPC updated, but missed annual date requirement or major event has occurred, and the plan has not been updated to reflect changes in client's life 2= IPC has been updated within the last year and/or after a major event N/A= Only n/a if this is initial
AR 4.9.2, MH Provider Manual Pg. 57-8	3. The family's and/or child's signature is present.	Parent signature preferred, unless youth is 18. Children/youth of any age can now consent to treatment without parental approval/knowledge <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Best practice is that child also signs if older than 14 • 12 years or older if receiving substance abuse treatment without parent permission 	0= No signature and no explanation why it's missing 1= Signature of parent, but not youth if 14 or older (12 for SU) 2= Signature of all applicable participants present or adequate explanation if missing N/A= Cannot score as N/A
AR 4.9.2, MH Provider Manual Section 4.5	4. Signed by a licensed master's-level clinician, a physician, or an authorized advanced practice psychiatric nurse practitioner (APRN).	At a minimum, the treatment plan must be signed by a licensed master's-level clinician, a physician, or an authorized advanced practice psychiatric nurse practitioner (APRN). Signature of psychiatrist/psychiatric nurse practitioner is required for plans only if any of the following conditions are present: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • med management is a service on the plan • the client is discharging from psychiatric hospitalization • the supervising clinician feels the client's treatment issues warrant psychiatric review or consult. 	0 = No signature or wrong level of signature present 1 = Signature has unclear credentials 2= Signature, at proper level, is present N/A = Cannot score as n/a
MH Provider Manual Section 4.5	5. Goals/outcomes are a statement of the overall, long term desired results of service interventions and are meaningful to and have been developed in partnership with	Clients will feel more ownership of goals if they are in their language. Are the goals stated in the client's words with interpretation by the clinician? Do they seem to reflect the issues identified in the assessment? Are the objectives concrete and reasonable for the client to work toward? Was the plan developed with the active participation of the person served?	0 = No evidence client/family participated, no indication of long-term results 1 = Some 2 = Documentation is clear and indicates client/family was

	client and families, as evidenced by documented input from client/family		involved, goals indicate expected results N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 4.5	6. Goals reflect evaluation and/or other assessments, or recent progress notes if the plan is an update.	Goals should be tied directly to the assessment. Do goals reflect the treatment recommendations of the most recent assessment, or is there documentation in progress notes to show that the child's issues/challenges have shifted or developed For children receiving BI services there must be an individual Behavior Support Plan (BSP) that incorporates assessment findings and identified target behaviors.	0 = Evaluation and assessments not considered in formulating goals 1 = Some incorporation of evaluation or assessments 2 = Goals clearly informed by evaluation and assessments client/family was involved, goals indicate expected results N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 4.5	7. Plan includes at least one goal that reflects mental health treatment needs	At least one goal must reflect mental health treatment needs. If necessary or appropriate, are the client's words, needs, desires and/or goals translated into mental health-oriented goals that identify and target a mental health issue?	0 = Mental health goal is absent 1 = Goal is unclear or loosely tied to mental health 2 = Specific clinical interpretation of client's needs into mental health goals N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 4.5	8. Goals have objectives that are observable, measurable and achievable, and include specific time frames for achieving/assessing progress	The action steps to complete a goal are laid out with objectives that are appropriate (to age and developmental level) concrete, measurable, reflect the ability and commitment level of the client, understandable to the client, and achievable. Could a client or reader understand what would indicate a successful completion of the goal? Must be clear about what is being provided to help client attain goals. Specific supports that are needed and the frequency of that service without having PRN incorporated into the plan. Plan should also identify a realistic time frame for accomplishing the goal. If there is a BSP, these criteria are also relevant	0 = Action steps are missing from service plan and/or goals do not seem realistic or measurable. 1 = Some elements are missing or unclear 2 = Objectives and action steps are clear, realistic, and measurable. N/A= Cannot score as N/A
AR 4.9.1, MH Provider Manual Section 4.5	9. Client's plan is accessible and easy to understand for the consumer.	The IPC does not use excessive jargon or only mental health terminology. The organization of the form is logical and understandable, and it is obvious that the client's abilities and goals have been incorporated into the plan.	0 = IPC not accessible 1 = Some elements of the IPC are clear and accessible 2 = Plan is clear and easy to understand and follow. N/A= Cannot score as N/A
AR 4.9.9, MH Provider Manual Section 4.5	10. IPC reflects risk factors and have measures in place to minimize them, including individualized plans and strategies when needed	Has the child been screened by emergency services before? What has been the severity/potential lethality of the behaviors? Is there a current crisis plan in place? Do they need one?	0 = Risks indicated, but not documented in client's IPC 1 = IPC does not adequately plan for identified risks or needs to be updated

			2 = Clear information about risks is present, defined, and planned for in IPC. N/A= No risks indicated
AR 4.9.1 MH Provider Manual Section 4.5	11. Plan describes the specific changes in behavior, function and/or status that would indicate progress toward the long-term goal	Could a client or reader understand what would indicate a successful completion of the goal? For example, not just that a behavior disappears, but what other behavior, situation or relationship would take its place, or what level of change in behavior is being worked on.	0 = IPC does not articulate expected outcomes 1 = Expected outcomes are present, but lack detail 2 = Expected outcomes are clearly articulated N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 4.5	12. Type of intervention or service, frequency, and time frame are identified	Must be clear about what is being provided to help client obtain goals. Specific supports that are needed and the frequency of that service without having PRN incorporated into the plan. Plan should also identify a realistic time frame for accomplishing the goal. See attached chart for descriptions of acceptable ranges of care for frequency of services.	0 = Type of clinical intervention, frequency or time frame of services is missing from IPC 1 = Some elements missing 2 = Clinical intervention strategy, frequency and time frame of services is clear and complete N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 4.5	13. Documentation shows who will provide services (at least title or position is required).	Best practice would have the name of the clinician or provider listed, but at least the program name should be indicated that is providing the service.	0= Service plan does not identify program/staff person 1 = Service plan's identification of program/staff person is mostly clear 2 = Service plan clearly identifies program/staff person, title, and credentials N/A= Cannot score as N/A
IV. Service Delivery and Documentation			
MH Provider Manual Section 4.7	1. Progress notes document clinical intervention used	Is there enough content in the note to show there was a quality interaction, intervention used, and progress toward goals? Or, if the intervention is more activity-based, is there a description of how the activity has a therapeutic component and will help the client make progress toward a goal.	0 = No evidence of clinical intervention used 1 = Clinical intervention used is inconsistent or unclear 2 = Documentation clearly and consistently notes clinical intervention used N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 4.7	2. Notes contain a summary of major content or intervention themes consistent with IPC	Is the therapeutic goal of the activity stated and how it will help the client meet their goals? Is there appropriate content in the description to ensure that there is not excessive repetition over time? Intervention should tie back to an IPC goal.	0 = No evidence of clinical intervention used 1 = Clinical intervention used is inconsistent or unclear

	treatment goals		2 = Documentation clearly and consistently notes clinical intervention used N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 4.7	3. Documentation of clinical work to support the family in addressing needs identified in IPC (medical, cognitive, behavioral)	Progress notes should outline efforts made to support the family in participating in the IPC to make progress toward goals and removing barriers if any exist.	0 = No evidence of clinical intervention used 1 = Clinical intervention used is inconsistent or unclear 2 = Documentation clearly and consistently notes clinical intervention used N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 4.7	4. Observations made of the individual or responses to interventions	Note should include client's response to intervention utilized. Did the intervention result in a response that indicates progress toward a goal or did the response indicate that the client is still working toward	0 = No evidence of clinical observation 1 = Observation about response is inconsistent or unclear 2 = Documentation clearly and consistently notes client response N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 4.7	5. Interagency coordination is evident if appropriate	Interagency coordination is the cornerstone of the system of care. Often children and families present with multiply issues and it's important for the other providers to work together with mental health and make sure services are coordinated and not duplicated. If another agency referred the child and is looking for a specific outcome, is that reflected in the goals? Are they involved in the interventions? Does the plan of services reflect the supports and services the child and family are receiving in the community? For students with BI services, there should be evidence of a monthly team meeting to incorporate information from data and direct observation by team	0 = No evidence of coordination 1 = Coordination does not include all applicable partners or lacks key elements 2 = Clear evidence of coordination with all applicable partners N/A = Coordination is not clinically indicated
MH Provider Manual Section 4.7	6. Documentation of ongoing need for continued intervention and plan	Is there documentation that supports the need to continue the intervention/plan? Notes should include progress or gains made by the client to support the continued use of an intervention related to the IPC goal.	0 = No documentation in chart 1 = Documentation unclear or incomplete (relies on single word descriptors, or is excessively brief or repetitive) 2 = Documentation is clear, informative, individualized and describes the client's progress toward their treatment goals or any changes in therapeutic direction. N/A= Cannot score as N/A

MH Provider Manual Section 4.7	7. Notes include an assessment of progress toward treatment goals	Is there thoughtful assessment of the progress (or lack of progress) the client is making, and how the interventions are helping them achieve their goals? If they are not making progress, is a change in direction, alternate intervention, or change in service frequency identified?	0 = No documentation in chart 1 = Documentation unclear or incomplete (e.g., relies on single word descriptors, or is excessively brief or repetitive) 2 = Documentation is clear, informative, individualized and describes the client's progress toward their treatment goals or any changes in therapeutic direction. N/A= Cannot score as N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 4.7	8. There is evidence of consultation for complex cases or clients making little or no progress	For clients who exhibit challenging boundary issues, have extremely complex presentations, or are making little or no progress, is there documentation that the clinician or provider is accessing regular supervision to support them in addressing the client's needs?	0 = No documentation in chart 1 = Documentation unclear or incomplete (e.g., relies on single word descriptors, or is excessively brief or repetitive) 2 = Documentation is clear, informative, individualized N/A= No evidence consult is needed during this period
MH Provider Manual Section 4.7	9. If appropriate, there is documentation of integration or collaboration with primary care	Documentation should demonstrate collaboration between agency and PCP if client has a health condition, severe allergies, or receives medication management.	0 = No documentation in chart 1 = Documentation unclear or incomplete 2 = Documentation is clear, informative, individualized N/A = Collaboration with PCP not medically indicated
MH Provider Manual Section 4.7	10. Service is delivered or supervised by a qualified provider as noted by clinician signature, degree, and date.	Notes must be signed, dated, and include staff credentials. Notes must be at a minimum monthly, weekly are also acceptable. Notes must follow supervised billing requirements, however not all notes require signature from licensed clinician, see MH Provider Manual for supervised billing guide.	0 = signature absent 1 = Signature present, no credentials or date 2= signature, date, and credentials are all present N/A = Cannot be n/a
MH Provider Manual Section 4.7	11. Progress notes are individualized to the client's service interactions and do not contain excessive repetition over time	Are the notes individualized? Excessive repetition in notes is unacceptable. Photocopied or "cut and paste" descriptions of the activity and/or client response that are used repeatedly are unacceptable.	0 = Excessive repetition throughout the case notes or "cut and paste" descriptions. 1 = Individualized notes, some repetition 2 = Documentation consistently individualized to the specific interaction and client response.

			N/A = Cannot score N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 4.7	12. Notes demonstrate a clear relationship to assessment data	The Department of Mental Health is moving to use of standardized tools for functional status and progress monitoring. Standardized tools should be used to prioritize interventions, direct treatment planning, and inform decision making at the direct service level.	0 = No relationship between intervention and assessment data/findings 1= Notes sometimes relate to assessment findings/data 2= Notes clearly demonstrate connection between intervention and assessment data/findings N/A = Cannot be n/a
V. Crisis Management			
MH Provider Manual Section 4.5	1. When indicated, there is a proactive crisis plan (a sudden change in behavior with negative consequences for well-being, a loss of effective coping mechanisms, or presenting danger to self or others)	The best way to avoid crisis is to plan how to respond. If the child has a history of multiple crisis calls and/or screenings or a significant self-harming or aggressive episode, then a pro-active crisis plan is appropriate.	0 = No crisis plan, but it is clinically indicated. 1 = Crisis plan present, but missing key elements 2 = Crisis plan present and contains all needed information N/A = No clinical need for a crisis plan
MH Provider Manual Section 3.2	2. If there are face to face crisis screenings, crisis note must contain: identified issue or precipitant to crisis contact, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • issues addressed or discussed, • collateral contact information as solicited or available, • observations made by the clinician, • the clinician's assessment of the issues/situation including mental status and lethality/risk potential, • disposition or plan resulting from the crisis intervention, • psychiatric consultation, as clinically indicated" 	All elements must be present in note for a face-to-face crisis screening.	0 = Screenings missing multiple key elements. 1 = Screenings missing a few elements 2 = Screenings contains all necessary information N/A = No screenings

MH Provider Manual Section 3.2	3. If a screening for an inpatient setting occurs; was it completed by a screener or reported by a reliable clinician and does it consist of a statement of the presenting problem and its history	Screeners for inpatient settings must be Qualified Mental Health Providers (QMHPs) or qualified clinicians/physicians.	0 = Screening missing multiple key elements. 1 = Screening lacking some details 2 = Screening contains all necessary information N/A = No inpatient screenings
MH Provider Manual Section 3.2	4. Inpatient Screening: does it contain a description of the community resources considered	Were other community-based options considered as alternatives to inpatient? The least restrictive level of care that will meet the client's clinical need should always be considered first.	0 = No description 1 = Lacking some detail 2 = Contains all necessary information N/A = No inpatient screenings
MH Provider Manual Section 3.2	5. Inpatient Screening: was a risk assessment completed	Risk assessments must be completed for anyone being screened for an inpatient setting.	0 = No risk assessment 1 = Lacking some detail 2 = Contains all necessary information N/A = No inpatient screenings
MH Provider Manual Section 3.2	6. Inpatient Screening: Does it contain a recommendation for placement	The screening for inpatient must contain a recommendation for placement.	0 = No recommendation 1 = Lacking some detail 2 = Contains all necessary information N/A = No inpatient screenings
MH Provider Manual Section 4.8	7. If client is admitted to a hospital or hospital diversion, is there evidence of discharge planning and participation from the DA/SSA	If a person is hospitalized, the DA/SSA Service Coordinator or designees are expected to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • collaborate actively with the DMH Care Managers and psychiatric inpatient providers; • contribute to the development of the inpatient treatment plan, supporting maximum coordination and continuity of mental health services; • develop timely coordinated aftercare and follow-up plans, and • the DA/SSA psychiatrist is ultimately responsible for the overall efforts on the part of the DA/SSA to coordinate care with the psychiatric inpatient provider 	0 = No evidence of discharge planning 1 = Lacking some detail 2 = Contains all necessary information N/A = No hospitalization or diversion admission
VI. Periodic Review & Assessment of Progress			
MH Provider Manual Section 4.4	1. A standardized screening or assessment tool is used every 6 months to assess progress	The use of standardized screening and/or evaluation tools is expected as part of the intake process and as clinically indicated to direct treatment decisions. At least one standardized screening and/or assessment tool will be used in order to develop the plan of care. For BI services/SB6, the Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) is the tool that has been selected for children's services 0-22.	0 = No tool used 1 = screening/assessment out of date 2 = screening/tool utilized N/A = Cannot score N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 4.4	2. Information from this screening/assessment tool and progress notes are used to inform client plan goals and	Standardized tools should be used to prioritize interventions, direct treatment planning, and inform decision making at the direct service level. The aggregate data from these standardized tools will help guide policy, measure outcomes, and inform planning at the systems level.	0 = No evidence of integration 1 = incomplete integration 2 = tool & notes fully integrated N/A = Cannot score N/A

	service delivery as appropriate.		
MH Provider Manual Section 4.5	3. Documentation of ongoing need for continuing intervention (with any description of change in approach if necessary).	What intervention have they tried? How did they help? Not help? What issues in the family need to be addressed in order to support the child in changing their behaviors?	0 = No evidence of need 1 = no description of changes (if needed) 2 = ongoing need clearly documented N/A = Cannot score N/A
MH Provider Manual Section 4.5	4. Intensity of services match the documentation of need	Is the child getting services that meet their clinical need based on their assessment, CANS data, and documentation of progress toward IPC goals?	0 = No match 1 = Match for some services but not all 2 = Full match N/A = Cannot score N/A
VII. Transition & Discharge Planning			
MH Provider Manual Section 4.8	1. Evidence of proper transition/exit planning documentation and notifications	Planning for a transition to or from a residential setting or discharge from services is critical for the youth and their family. When applicable, all members of the treatment team should be aware of the transition/exit plan and understand their role. There should be evidence of communication with the treatment team, as well as a clear understanding of what the family needs to support a successful transition. <i>If the team determines the student no longer requires a BI, a transition and discharge plan is finalized. If the student has an IEP, transition and planning must take place within the context of the IEP planning process.</i>	0 = No evidence of planning 1 = Incomplete evidence 2 = Evidence is complete N/A = No transition or discharge planning needed
MH Provider Manual Section 4.8	2. If client is receiving services through residential care, the client will still need to remain open to the DA. There should also be ongoing DA participation in treatment and discharge planning	It is best practice to begin discharge planning prior to admission. Residential care is only a piece of a plan not the plan. Ongoing participation in treatment planning and discussions is crucial to understanding the challenges the child will face when returning to the community, as well as what interventions were most (and least) effective. Please refer to the DMH Residential Criteria document.	0 = No collaboration 1 = Incomplete collaboration 2 = Complete collaboration N/A = No transition or discharge planning needed or not receiving services through residential/hospital care

Qualitative Information

Strengths were noted in the following areas:

Please record strengths and examples of consistent or exemplary work

Careful consideration needs to be paid to the following areas of this file:

Note areas that don't meet standard or patterns that have emerged (i.e. an employee who needs more training on note writing or more consultation on complex cases is needed).

The following needs immediate attention:

These are patterns that have emerged or issues that have been uncovered during the review that the agency needs to be notified about during or at the end of the review (i.e. clinicians have consistently not signed off on IPCs, critical documentation is missing, or there is a concern for the level of care a client is/is not getting). These issues often result in a plan of corrective action for the DA.