

TO: ONH Study Committee
FROM: Jack McCullough
SUBJECT: ONH Revocation Statistics
DATE: November 1, 2018

At the request of the Committee I spent some time yesterday and today querying our database for ONH Revocation statistics for the last few years. I am reporting the statistics that were easiest to find, although there are gaps, which I will mention below.¹ All figures are for state fiscal years.

YEAR	TOTAL	COMMITTED	DENIED	DISMISSED	SETTLED
2015	50	15	2	22	4
2016	47	8	1	30	3
2017	51	5	3	37	4
2018	46	6	4	30	5
TOTAL	194	34	10	119	16

County distribution—165 in the Big 4 counties—Chittenden, Rutland, Washington, and Windham. No other county had more than five and there were none in Essex or Grand Isle. I should note that the county indicated is the county in which the case was pending when it was closed in our system, so if there was a change of venue the records reflect the county of disposition, not the county in which the case was filed.

For each year the numbers don't add up to the total because some case records didn't include a note on the disposition.

I was not able to determine whether there was another case, most likely an AIT or ACTC, pending at the same time as the ONHREV. That means that I don't have the ability to break down how many cases were dismissed because the state decided that the problem was resolved and they no longer needed to pursue the revocation and how many were dismissed because the client was simultaneously committed in another case, typically an AIT (although it could conceivably be in a criminal case).

I can't tell how many unique individuals these cases represent, but it is clear that there were a significant number of clients with more than one revocation over this period.

¹ I am still trying to work with our database to see if we can produce a spreadsheet that, in collaboration with David Horton at DMH, will be even better than this.

